

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Dao for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's representative, David W. Foster on October 25, 2007.

During the interview, Applicant's representative submitted differences between the claimed subject matter and "Windows XP – Reviewers Guide" (Microsoft Corporation, August 2001, hereinafter "WindowsXP Guide"), WindowsXP Guide in view of "Sideshow: Providing Peripheral Awareness of Important Information" to Cadiz (hereinafter "Cadiz"), and further in view of US U.S. Patent No. 5,933,599 (issued August 3, 1999, filed July 17, 1995) to Nolan (hereinafter "Nolan"), WindowsXP Guide, in view of Cadiz, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,689,700 to Miller et al. (hereinafter "Miller"), WindowsXP Guide in view of Cadiz and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,903,753 to Bramnick et al. (hereinafter "Bramnick"), WindowsXP Guide in view of Cadiz, Nolan, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,842,214 to Whitney et al. (hereinafter "Whitney"), and to WindowsXP Guide in view of Cadiz, Nolan, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,689,701 to Ault et al. (hereinafter "Ault").

During the interview, Applicant's representative submitted that claims 22 and 29 are allowable over the references of record. Applicant's representative also proposed new claims. While no consensus was reached with regards to claim 22, claim 29, and the new claims, Applicant's representatives understood the Office to suggest amending elements from claim 12 into claims 1 and 6, in addition to amending in an element from claim 22 as proposed in the interview agenda.